

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/608,735	06/27/2003	Donald J. Polak	03-087	7698
75	90 07/01/2004		EXAMINER	
Cohen & Grigsby, P.C.			WUJCIAK, ALFRED J	
15th Floor 11 Stanwix Stre	et		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Pittsburgh, PA	15222		3632	
			DATE MAILED: 07/01/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/608,735	POLAK ET AL.	48			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
•		1				
The MAILING DATE of this communica	Alfred Joseph Wujciak III	the correspondence addre	255			
Period for Reply		the consepondence addition				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) da - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a replication. ays, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (furly period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH by statute, cause the application to become ABAN	ly be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. IS from the mailing date of this comm NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on <u>27 June 2003</u> .					
·	<u> </u>					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice	under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. ⁻	11, 453 O.G. 213.	•			
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the app	lication					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are v						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	n and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>27 June 2003</u> is		ed to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection	-					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	e correction is required if the drawing(s)	is objected to. See 37 CFR	1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the attached 0	Office Action or form PTO-	152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of:	foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).	,			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
Copies of the certified copies of t		ceived in this National Sta	age			
application from the International						
* See the attached detailed Office action for	or a list of the certified copies not re	ceived.				
Attachment(c)						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) T Interview Sun	nmary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date	D/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Info 6) ☐ Other:	rmal Patent Application (PTO-15	2)			
S. Patent and Trademark Office	-,		- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			

Art Unit: 3632

DETAILED ACTION

This is the first Office Action for the serial number 10/608,735, ONE-PIECE MOLDED CLAMP, filed on 6/27/03.

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: "EPDM" on page 2, line 29 is indefinite because the examiner does not know what "EPDM" stands for. The specification did not explain about "EPDM".

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 4, line 2, "second jaw" should be changed to ---first jaw--- for clarification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 3632

Claims 1, 4-5 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US Patent # 4,669,688 to Itoh et al.

Itoh et al. teaches one piece molded clamp (figure 1) comprising a first jaw (4) having an inward facing surface, an outward facing surface, a first end and a second end. The clamp includes a second jaw (5) unitarily formed with the first jaw, the second jaw having an inward facing surface, an outward facing surface, a first end and a second end. The outward facing surface of the second jaw comprises at least one notch (21). The first jaw comprises a tension strap (7) having at least one through-aperture through (26) releasably engaged with at least one notch of the second jaw. The tension strap extends from the inward facing surface of the first jaw. The second ends of the first and second jaws further comprise means (12) to mount the clamp on a surface.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Itoh et al. in view of US Patent # 6,164,604 to Cirino et al.

Itoh et al. teaches the first and second jaws having inward facing surfaces but fails to teach the inward facing surfaces having at least one protrusion thereon. Cirino et al. teaches the inward facing surfaces having at least one protrusion thereon (12). It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have added protrusion to

Art Unit: 3632

Itoh et al.'s inward facing surfaces as taught by Cirino et al. to prevent cable from rotating within the first and second jaws.

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Itoh et al. in view of US Patent # 4,766,651 to Kobayashi et al.

Itoh et al. teaches the surface but fails to teach the surface is a body of a vehicle. Kobayashi et al. teaches the surface (S) is the body of vehicle. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used Itoh et al.'s clamp on surface of vehicle as taught by Kobayashi et al. to provide storage for cable inside of the vehicle without interfering other object.

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Itoh et al. in view of US Patent # 5,930,872 to McBride et al.

Itoh teaches the clamp but fails to teach the clamp is made of a polymer. McBride et al. teaches the clamp (10) is made of a polymer. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Itoh's clamp material to polymer as taught by McBride et al. to provide designer's preference of material to be used for clamping an object.

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Itoh et al. in view of US Patent # 6,496,094 to May III.

Art Unit: 3632

Itoh et al. teaches the clamp but fails to teach the clamp is made of rubber. May III teaches the clamp (12) is made of rubber. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Itoh's clamp material to rubber as taught by May III to provide designer's preference of material to be used for clamping an object.

Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Itoh et al. in view of US Patent # 3,827,093 to Davis.

Itoh et al. teaches the clamp but fails to teach the clamp is made of synthetic rubber.

Davis teaches the clamp (18) is made of rubber. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Itoh's clamp material to synthetic rubber as taught by Davis to provide designer's preference of material to be used for clamping an object.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

US Patent # 5,782,090 to Locke

US Patent # 5,725,185 to Auclair

US Patent # 6,206,331 to Keith et al.

US Patent # 3,954,238 to Nivet

US Patent # 5,305,978 to Current

US Patent # 4,564,163 to Barnett

US Patent # 5,367,750 to Ward

Art Unit: 3632

US Patent # 6,355,887 to Gretz

US Patent # 6,443,403 to Page et al.

Locke, Auclair, Keith et al., Nivet, Current, Barnett, Ward, Gretz and Page et al. teach clamp with intergral strap or band for securing an object in the clamp.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alfred Joseph Wujciak III whose telephone number is (703) 306-5994. The examiner can normally be reached on 8am-4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Leslie A Braun can be reached on 703 308 2156. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Alfred Joseph Wujciak III

Examiner

Art Unit 3632

ATN

Korie Chan

Primary Examiner

Page 6

Art Unit 3632

6/23/04